Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Amendment of the Commission's Rules)	WT Docket No. 11-202
to Permit Radiolocation Operations in)	
the 77-81 GHz Band.)	
)	
Request by the Trex Enterprises)	RM-11612
Corporation for Waiver of Section)	
90.103(b) of the Commission's Rules)	

To: The Commission

Via: Office of the Secretary

COMMENTS OF ROBERT BOSCH, GmbH

Robert Bosch, GmbH (Bosch), by and through counsel and pursuant to the *Notice* of Proposed Rule Making and Order, FCC 11-185, 77 Fed. Reg. 1661 released in this proceeding December 20, 2011 (the *Notice*), hereby respectfully submits its comments¹ in response to the issues raised in the *Notice*. The *Notice* proposal was developed in response to the Petition for Rule Making filed by Trex Enterprises Corporation (Trex), seeking amendment of the Commission's Part 90 rules to permit 78-81 GHz Foreign Object Debris (FOD) radars at airports on a licensed basis. It is asserted that these FOD radars will improve airport safety and minimize possible instances of collision of aircraft on airport runways with objects on the runway. Bosch interposes no objection to the

¹ These comments are timely filed pursuant to Section 1.415 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.415, as they are being tendered within thirty (30) days of the January 11, 2012 date of publication of the Notice in the Federal Register.

² The Commission has granted the waiver of Section 90.103 of the Commission's Rules as requested by Trex to permit certification, manufacture, licensing and use of its FOD detection radar equipment, pending the resolution of its Petition for Rulemaking (RM-11612) in this proceeding. Bosch takes no issue with this waiver grant, but urges that any licenses for use of the FOD radars issued pursuant to the waiver but prior to the ultimate resolution of this Docket proceeding be made contingent on the outcome of (1) the Docket

Commission's proposal per se. However, Bosch urges the Commission to take no action in this proceeding which would hinder or preclude the rollout of short-range automotive radars (SRR) in the 77-81 GHz band in the United States. Bosch strongly urges that unlicensed operation of FOD radars at airports should not be permitted for several reasons, and that the Commission should not resolve this docket proceeding without the benefit of technical showings, cooperatively prepared by the stakeholders in this proceeding, as to the electromagnetic compatibility between mobile FOD radar systems at airports, automotive SRR radars at 77-81 GHz, Radioastronomy (RAS), and the Amateur Service. Such showings are necessary in order to ascertain the necessary operating parameters and license conditions for FOD radar systems, so as to insure compatibility with other incumbent and future services in that band. It is unclear at present whether the technical parameters for FOD specified in the waiver grant³ are sufficient to protect automotive radar systems operating on public roadways near airports, and therefore what, if any, preclusive effect would result from authorizing FOD radars sited at airports in this band. For its comments, Bosch states as follows:

I. Introduction.

1. Bosch is a multinational corporation which manufactures many different types of high-quality products for numerous industries, including vehicular radar systems and automotive components and systems. Bosch is active in establishment of international standards for automotive radar systems, anti-collision systems, and automatic braking

.

proceeding, and (2) compatibility studies relative to the effects of FOD airport radar systems on automotive short-range radar systems at 77-81 GHz, which should be conducted prior to any permanent, licensed deployment of FOD radars at airports. As will be seen below, Bosch requests that the Commission withhold final action in this proceeding pending the conduct and publication of these studies as part of the relief granted in this proceeding.

³ See Paragraph 18 of the Notice; these parameters include transmit power of 100 mW; Antenna Gain of 45 dBi; System EIRP of 35 dBw; Vertical Transmit polarization with a beamwidth of (3 dB) 1 deg (el) X 0.2 deg (az) and an FMCW chirp repetition rate of 139.5 Hz.

systems. Bosch manufactures long-range automotive radar systems for vehicles in the 76-77 GHz range and short-range automotive radar systems to operate at 77-81 GHz. SRR automotive systems are now permitted in Europe and in many countries other than the United States. Bosch has a distinct interest in the effective performance of these safety-of-life systems in motor vehicles in the United States and in their reliable performance, on which motor vehicle operators and passengers rely for their safety.

2. There is presently a worldwide plan to consolidate automotive radars in the 76-81 GHz band. ⁴ CEPT has concluded that the so-called "79 GHz" band should be the only globally harmonized frequency band for automotive radars. ⁵ As stated in the Notice in this proceeding, long-range automotive radars now operate in the United States at 76-77 GHz pursuant to Section 15.253 of the Commission's Rules. However, that band is used only for medium and long-range (i.e. vehicle and infrastructure radar systems) radars. Sharing studies conducted by the automotive industry have concluded that sharing between SRRs and long-range automotive radars is not possible. It is firmly settled that the 79 GHz frequency range (i.e. 77-81 GHz) should be considered as the most suitable band for SRRs. ⁶ Indeed, the Commission has repeatedly acknowledged this for many

⁴ The 77-81 GHz band was designated by the European Conference of Postal and Telecommunications Administrations (CEPT) as early as July 2004 for automotive radar. The European Commission has adopted the decision 2004/545/EC on the harmonization of radio spectrum in the 79 GHz range for the use of automotive radar. The harmonized standard EN 302 264 has been adopted by ETSI for short-range radar (SRR) operating in the 77-81 GHz band. In March of 2010, the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications (MIC) in Japan has started a study group in the info-Communications Council for the introduction of high-resolution radar in the 77-81 GHz frequency band. In October of 2010, the State Radio Frequency Committee of Russia allocated the 77-81 GHz band for automotive radar.

⁵ There is ongoing now the "79 GHz Project", an international automotive 79 GHz frequency harmonization initiative and worldwide operating vehicular radar frequency standardization platform. See, www.79ghz.eu. This project, among other things, tracks the progress of allocation of the 77-81 GHz band in various countries relative to automotive radar systems. At the outset of the project, 79 GHz radar equipment was authorized in 27 EC member states; most of the 21 CEPT countries; and Singapore and Australia.

⁶ Sharing with the Radioastronomy Service has been studied in Europe and in the United States. The European studies concluded (and the United States studies confirmed) that regulatory measures can be

years.⁷ Bosch has notified the Commission's Office of Engineering and Technology that it intends to submit in the near term a Petition for Rule Making proposing the modification of Section 15.253 of the Commission's rules to permit the operation of automotive SRRs in the 77-81 GHz band in addition to the present 76-77 GHz band now permitted by that rule Section.

3. The value of automotive radar systems to the public as safety-of-life devices is beyond question, and the statistics supporting that are highly compelling. The Commission stated in 2002, when first permitting short-range vehicular radars at 24 GHz that it expected "vehicular radar to become as essential to passenger safety as air bags for motor vehicles…" This prediction has largely been validated since that time. Automotive radar systems have been proven to substantially reduce injuries and death due to automobile collisions. The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

_

developed enabling coexistence between SRRs in the frequency band 77-81 GHz and the Radioastronomy Service.

⁷ For example, in Docket 03-102, resolved in 2004, the Commission adopted domestically the RAS and space research service allocations in the 77-81 GHz band. The Commission refused to withhold the domestic implementation of the RAS and space research allocations due to possible future use by vehicular radar systems, although the Commission "recognize(d) that there [was] a great deal of ongoing international discussion about the current and future spectrum needs of SRR systems" in that band. The Commission at the time denied a request filed by Delphi Corporation to initiate a proceeding to establish rules to allow vehicular radar operations in the 77-81 GHz segment. However, the Commission invited proposals in the future for such use. The Commission said that "entities may file petitions for rule making requesting the Commission to take such action. Such petitions should include specific proposals for technical and other rules."

⁸ Revision of Part 15 of the Commission's Rules Regarding Ultra-Wideband Transmission Systems, First Report and Order, ET Docket 98-153, released April 22, 2002, at ¶ 18.

⁹ Various studies on the safety benefit of automotive safety systems have been published. At the 21st International Technical Conference on the Enhanced Safety of Vehicles, Stuttgart, held in June of 2009 (www.esv2009.com), the following studies were presented:

⁽A) Daimler provided a study that showed that with its Brake Assist Plus (collision warning and partial braking) it is possible to prevent 53% of all rear-end collisions that otherwise cause injuries. To support this figure, a comparison of repair parts statistics of cars with and without radar-based functions was made. It could be clearly determined that at speed between 14 and 50 km/h could be reduced by 22%. It was also shown that the impact speed of collisions was reduced (e.g. impact speed between 14 and 45 km/h by 38%). In sum, crashes could be avoided or at least the impact speed can be reduced significantly.

(B) The Swedish Road Administration (SRA) published a study that reduction of collision impact speed by 10% would reduce the risk of fatalities by 30%.

(NHTSA) determined that the number one cause of death in persons aged 4 to 34¹⁰ during 2005 were multiple vehicle traffic crashes. 11 According to a Honda study in 2005, the use of its collision mitigation braking systems reduces the number of rear-end collisions by 38% and the number of fatal rear-end collisions by 44%. Bosch completed a 2009 study which concluded that emergency braking assist technology will reduce personal-injury rear-end collisions by 39%; and that automatic emergency braking will reduce personalinjury rear-end collisions by 74%. The Insurance Institute of Highway Safety completed a 2010 study of the effects of forward collision warning radar systems on passenger car collisions. The study found that 20 percent (i.e. 1.2 million) of passenger car collisions can be avoided by the use of forward collision radars; 9% (i.e. 66,000) of accidents with injuries can be prevented by such use; and 3% (i.e. 879) of fatal accidents can be prevented by such use. Daimler made a presentation to the World Automotive Congress in September of 2008, reporting on a study of 66,000 real accidents, using the German In-Depth Accident Study database. The study was limited to analysis of rear-end collisions. The study concluded that 20 percent of all rear end crashes could have been avoided if the cars had been equipped with short-range radar-based intelligent brake assistance. Even in cases when the crash was unavoidable the reduction of crash energy was

⁽C) The German Insurers Accident Research (UDV) stated that autonomous partial braking could avoid 12% of all accidents. Systems with autonomous emergency (full) braking could avoid 40% of all kinds of collisions.

¹⁰ The age groups in this study between ages 4 and 34 included young children (4-7), children (8-15), teens (16-20), young adults (21-24) and other adults (25-34).

¹¹ See National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, "Evaluation of an Automotive Rear-End Collision Avoidance System, DOT HS 810 569 (March 2006) available at: http://www.nhtsa.gov/DOT/NHTSA/NRD/Multimedia/PDFs/Crash%20Avoidance/2006/HS910569.pdf.

significant and the severity of the crash consequences would have been mitigated in 25 percent of the accidents.¹²

- 4. However, to date, the availability of these life-saving and injury-preventing technologies has been limited in the United States to equipment integrated into more high-end passenger vehicles due to the absence of an internationally harmonized standard for SRRs. A worldwide allocation (or regulatory authorization under Part 15) would be beneficial in terms of efficient use of spectrum as well as in the economies of scale that would encourage substantial rollout of SRR technology in a *much* wider range of passenger vehicles.
- 5. Bosch does not question the Commission's tentative conclusion in the Notice that FOD radars operating at airports would be valuable, safety-related facilities ¹⁴ and it is not suggested that there cannot be compatible sharing between licensed FOD radars at airports and automotive SRRs on motor vehicles, even in close proximity to FOD-equipped airports. However, because of the importance of SRRs nationwide to motor vehicle safety on roadways *throughout* the United States, it is important to authorize FOD radars in such a way as to minimize the potential for interaction between FOD radars and automotive radars in the 78-81 GHz portion of the 77-81 GHz band. Motor vehicle operators and passengers must be entitled to rely on the effective performance of these

¹² See also Schittenhelm, Dr. Helmut., *Design of Effective Collision Mitigation Systems and Prediction of Their Statistical Efficiency to Avoid or Mitigate Real World Accidents* (Daimler AG), 14 September 2008. ¹³ However, *see* ITU-R Preliminary Draft Recommendation ITU-R M.1452-1 (Question ITU-R 205-4/5) Regarding Millimeter-Wave Automotive Radars and Radiocommunication Systems for Intelligent Transport System Applications. This recommendation provides system requirements, technical and operational characteristics of millimeter-wave ITS applications at 76-77 GHz and 77-81 GHz. (See especially Annex 2 thereto).

¹⁴ Bosch certainly does not dispute the finding of the Federal Aviation Administration that FOD "poses a significant threat to the safety of air travel."

systems without geographic limitations. Bosch suggests that this can be done by prudent siting of FOD radars through the licensing process.

II. The Notice Proposal.

6. The *Notice* does not propose the operation of FOD radars below 78 GHz. The 78-81 GHz band is allocated domestically on a primary basis for radioastronomy and radiolocation. Secondarily, the band is allocated to the amateur and amateur-satellite services and space research downlinks (space-to-Earth). There would be no changes necessary to the Table of Allocations in order to permit either FOD radars at 78-81 GHz or automotive radars at 77-81 GHz. As noted above, automotive radars now operate in the United States in the 76-77 GHz band pursuant to Section 15.253 of the Commission's Rules on an unlicensed basis. Trex proposed in RM-11612 and the Commission now proposes in the *Notice* to amend Section 90.103 of the land mobile service rules to include the 78-81 GHz band in the Radiolocation Service Frequency Table among other bands available for mobile radiolocation. However, the Commission's proposed Footnote 30 to the Radiolocation Service Frequency Table would limit eligibility for licensing at 78-81 GHz to airport authorities or entities approved by FAA. The Footnote would also limit licensed radiolocation use of the band to "foreign object debris detection." This assignment limitation is intended to protect millimeter-wave Radioastronomy observatories from potential interference. It is not intended to be sufficient to protect future automotive radar use in the 78-81 GHz band. The Commission, at Paragraph 14 of the *Notice*, asks for comment on the operating parameters that are necessary for FOD radars. It notes that there are currently no specific power limits, bandwidth limitations, or frequency stability requirements for radiolocation operations in the 78-81 GHz band.

7. As a counterpoint to the specificity of the Appendix to the *Notice* proposal, the Commission does ask some important, but far more general questions in the *Notice* concerning the 78-81 GHz band. At paragraph 6 of the Notice, the Commission asks whether or not, in addition to its proposal to amend its Part 90 rules to permit FOD detection at airports, it should also propose to amend the same Part 90 rules to permit radiolocation use of the band "for any other conforming radiolocation use." It also asks what the "cost" of the proposed Part 90 change to permit FOD radars or other conforming radiolocation uses would be, in terms of preclusion of other uses of the same spectrum.

8. Finally, at Paragraph 7 of the *Notice*, the Commission expresses concern that limiting use of the 78-81 GHz band to use by FOD radars solely on a licensed basis could frustrate future opportunities for additional systems with safety-related applications to use the band. Specifically, the Commission notes the operation of SRRs in Europe [citing ECC/DEC/(04)03] and cites the commitment of the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) to "work with the Commission to ensure that an adequate frequency allocation in the 77-81 GHz band is available for the operation of vehicular radar systems." The Commission notes that SRR is likely to be authorized on an unlicensed basis, as is the case with other automotive radars operating at 76-77 GHz.

III. FOD Radars Should Be Permitted at 78-81 GHz On a Licensed Basis With Certain Operating Restrictions Necessary to Protect Future Automotive Short Range Radars at 77-81 GHz.

9. It is recognized that there is a high degree of frequency re-use in the 78-81 GHz band, due to (1) propagation losses, and (2) the use of high-gain, narrow-beamwidth

8

¹⁵ Comments of NTIA in ET Docket No. 98-153, at 22-23 (filed January 15, 2004), cited at footnote 12 of the *Notice*.

antennas. That said, however, SRRs in the 77-81 GHz band (which as a practical matter 16 must be operated as an unlicensed device), radiate in multiple directions from a motor vehicle and require a certain degree of interference protection in order to function adequately. If FOD radars at airports utilize directional antennas, aimed in a generally downward direction toward an airport runway, that configuration, despite the mounting of the radar on a motorized vehicle moving the FOD radar back and forth along airport runways, will likely minimize interference on public roadways adjacent to airports. The operating configuration of the radar, coupled with the limited propagation characteristics of the 77-81 GHz band, could provide sufficient protection for SRR operation in the 78-81 GHz band. Bosch suggests therefore that it is possible that FOD radars, depending on the operating parameters contained in the Part 90 rules, could avoid interaction with SRR-equipped motor vehicles on roadways near airports, and without adverse interaction with the few millimeter-wave Radioastronomy observatories in the United States. As is discussed below, however, the proposed modification of Section 90.103 of the Rules set forth in the Appendix to the *Notice* is not currently sufficient to insure compatibility with other uses of the 78-81 GHz band. 17

10. There is <u>no</u> doubt but that FOD radars must be operated on a licensed basis. There are two principal reasons for this. First, the Commission's Part 15 rules do not typically address siting issues. Regulation of unlicensed RF devices normally does not include restrictions on installation configurations. Instead, (with but very few

¹⁶ The devices operate in large numbers of privately owned motor vehicles and they are mobile, itinerant and ubiquitous.

¹⁷ The Commission notes this at Paragraph 14 of the *Notice*, in that it asks for comment on the operating parameters that are necessary for FOD radars. It notes that there are currently no specific power limits, bandwidth limitations, or frequency stability requirements for radiolocation operations in the 78-81 GHz band.

exceptions)¹⁸ they simply limit field strength or the characteristics of the device itself, not where and how the device is to be used *in situ*. Regulation of siting of an RF device (and the enforcement of that regulation) is more appropriately addressed in the licensing process. In this case, the interference potential of FOD radars may depend on the location of the radar on a mounted vehicle on the airport property near a runway. An appropriate licensing condition for the FOD radar might be that the radar must be mounted and utilized so that when in use it does not, within the main beamwidth of the antenna (azimuth or elevation), illuminate a public roadway near the airport.¹⁹

11. The second reason why 78-81 GHz FOD radars must be licensed is that there is no effective way to address interference after-the-fact or incorporate eligibility or use restrictions on operation of <u>un</u>licensed FOD radars. It is readily apparent that the Commission lacks the resources to effectively enforce Part 15 rules in most cases, and so the interference must be addressed *ex ante*. Without licensing, the ability of the Commission to address interference problems on a case-by-case basis is lacking. More to the point, as all parties seem to agree, FOD radars are indeed safety-of-life systems, and licensing them provides the necessary interference protection.

-

¹⁸ Although the Commission has authorized 433 MHz RFID systems to operate on an unlicensed basis with a geographic area and use limitations (use was limited to commercial and industrial areas only, and such systems could operate only for the purpose of tracking commercial packages), it did not impose that limitation in a vacuum. Rather, it also imposed a requirement that the manufacturer of the RFID devices keep records of the purchaser and the locations of operation of the systems.

¹⁹ This condition was appropriately suggested by Era Systems Corporation ("Era") in a proposal being addressed in ET Docket 11-90 to permit fixed use of 76-77 GHz radars at airports for monitoring terrestrial vehicle movement. Era (recognizing the need to protect what in that band are incumbent SRRs in the United States) noted that an essential component of its waiver request and proposed permanent authorization for fixed radar installations would be to ensure that no roads accessible by motor vehicles would be illuminated by the radars. While SRRs are not now incumbent uses at 78-81 GHz, and while the Era radars are fixed rather than mobile, unlike the Trex FOD radars, the Trex radars would operate mobile only in a limited area and could in the licensing process certify that the installations would not illuminate public roadways.

12. On November 21, 2011, NTIA sent to the Chief, Office of Engineering and Technology a letter forwarding the concerns of the FAA with respect to FOD radars and other uses under consideration for unlicensed operation at airports for, or in support of safety operations. FAA, which has examined this issue in detail, in a letter to NTIA's Office of Spectrum Management, noted that FAA supported the authorization of FOD radars, but *only* on a licensed basis. It stated that "[a] practical measure would be demonstrated assurance that FOD radars and other systems (licensed or non-licensed) can operate compatibly in the same spectrum." Specifically with respect to the compatibility between FOD radars and automotive radars in the 78-81 GHz band, FAA stated: ²⁰

A question was raised about FOD radars if they share the spectrum with vehicular collision avoidance radars, which now operate on a non-licensed, non-interference basis (NIB). Specifically, what would be the FAA's position if vehicular radars were elevated to primary status? Automotive radars on an NIB potentially present operational challenges and regulatory problems if interference to either system occurs. Neither safety service (assuming that vehicular radars are considered safety systems) shall accept interference; hence, primary status becomes a challenge. Regulatory status alone does not assure compatibility. Compatibility will have to be designed into both systems.

Bosch agrees with FAA's assessment. On the one hand, it would be anomalous in Commission jurisprudence to suggest that a licensed mobile radio service operating in accordance with a primary allocation in the Table of Allocations should be subject to rules in Part 90 to limit in the licensing process the interference potential to Part 15 unlicensed vehicular radars which have not yet been authorized in the band. However, as discussed above, the Commission is well-aware of the ongoing worldwide harmonization of automotive radar use of the 76-81 GHz band, and it has specifically asked in the *Notice* what the preclusive effect would be of authorizing Part 90 operation by FOD

_

11

²⁰ FAA Spectrum Engineering Services Letter to NTIA Office of Spectrum Management dated October 13, 2011 (emphasis in original).

radars. If the rules governing FOD radars are not carefully designed, then as FAA notes there could be a very significant preclusive effect on automotive SRRs, and a very significant adverse effect on vehicular safety.

13. FAA further states that any unlicensed FOD radars would be unusable by the FAA. It is readily apparent that, in order for these devices to be suitable for FAAcontrolled airports, they must be licensed. That is feasible given the number of such radar systems that could be deployed at airports around the country. It is not, however, sufficient by itself to insure compatibility with aeronautical radar systems. Bosch proposes that cooperative tests be conducted to determine the potential interaction between FOD radars and automotive radar systems, thus to determine the necessary power, antenna, bandwidth and emission limits that are appropriate for FOD radar systems and to minimize any preclusive effect of authorizing these radars.²¹ Bosch has recently participated in cooperative testing of the potential interaction between automotive radar systems and millimeter-wave Radioastronomy observatories, and the results of those studies are now public.²² Similar testing should be done before final action is taken in this proceeding to determine the compatibility between FOD radars and automotive radars, and to the extent the Commission deems necessary, between FOD radars, Radioastronomy millimeter-wave observatories and Amateur Radio facilities.²³ These tests will also permit ascertainment of the proper power and antenna limitations,

²¹ It would appear that no such studies have heretofore been conducted. Indeed, in an ECC Report (#56) entitled "Compatibility of Automotive Collision Warning Short Range Radar Operating at 79 GHz with Radiocommunication Services" (Stockholm, October, 2004) it was stated that: "NATO informed the CEPT that there are no radiolocation systems operational in the 79 GHz frequency range and that there are currently no plans to introduce such systems. No compatibility studies were therefore conducted with radiolocation systems in this frequency range."

²² A copy of the test results and conclusions are attached hereto as *Exhibit A* for reference.

²³ Bosch has also been engaged during the past year or more in cooperative discussions with ARRL, the National Association of Amateur Radio Operators, regarding compatible use of the 77.5-81 GHz band by SRRs and Amateur Radio stations.

emission types, bandwidth limitations, emission mask and frequency stability of the FOD radars.

14. Under no circumstances whatsoever should the Commission permit radiolocation devices generally under Part 15 or Part 90. Unspecified radiolocation operation will completely preclude automotive radars at 77-81 GHz. This is because it will be impossible to coordinate any automotive radar operation with radiolocation facilities without limiting geographic deployment and other parameters. Automotive manufacturers and automotive radar manufacturers work closely together as a matter of necessity to coordinate standards for operation of SRRs so that motor vehicles of different manufacture can utilize SRR technology without interference even in close traffic conditions. This would not be true if unspecified radiolocation systems were permitted to operate generally in the 77-81 GHz band.

IV. The Commission Should Permit Automotive Radars to Operate at 77-81 GHz by Amendment of Section 15.253 of the Commission's Rules.

15. In summary, Bosch strongly recommends that the Commission address the developed uses of the 77-81 GHz band, either by issuing a Further Notice in this proceeding or by withholding action until a Petition for Rule Making is filed seeking modification of Section 15.253 of the Commission's rules to include the 77-81 GHz band. There are a number of uses that are mobile and ubiquitous, including FOD radars, automotive radars and the Amateur Radio Service in this band, and it will be necessary to take into account any regulatory requirements necessary to protect millimeter-wave RAS observatories from interference as well. Bosch has conducted extensive discussions with representatives of the Amateur Service and has worked diligently with the Radioastronomy Service to understand the EMC issues and to arrive at compatibility in

this band. Only by allowing all parties to develop standards and practices cooperatively

can this process result in the maximum level of spectrum efficiency for all concerned.

Bosch is of the view that there can be sufficient compatibility among RAS, automotive

SRRs, Amateur Radio and FOD radars in this band, but FOD radars should not be

authorized without compatibility studies and the ascertainment and specification of

operational and technical parameters for those radar systems that are not proposed in this

Notice. The Commission should issue a Further Notice in this proceeding proposing to

authorize automotive SRRs under Part 15 in the range 77-81 GHz and FOD Radars at 78-

81 GHz under Part 90, following compatibility analyses prepared together with the

Radioastronomy and Amateur Radio communities.

Therefore, the foregoing considered, Robert Bosch, GmbH, respectfully requests

that the Commission take no final action in this proceeding in response to the Notice, but

instead to issue a Further Notice in this proceeding incorporating the broader relief

requested herein, thus to insure maximum spectrum efficiency and frequency re-use.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT BOSCH, GmbH

By: Christopher D. Imlay

Christopher D. Imlay

Its Attorney

Booth, Freret, Imlay & Tepper, P.C.

14356 Cape May Road

Silver Spring, MD 20904-6011

(301) 384-5525

February 8, 2012

14